



Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need	1-1
1.1 Proposed Action	1-1
1.2 Background	1-1
1.2.1 Study Area	1-3
1.2.2 Project Area	1-3
1.3 Need for the Project	1-3
1.3.1 Lack of Connectivity	1-7
1.3.2 Geometric Deficiencies	1-8
1.3.3 Operational Deficiencies	1-10
1.4 Purpose of the Project	1-12
Chapter 2: Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Logical Termini	2-1
2.1.1 Logical Termini Connections	2-1
2.1.2 Independent Utility or Significance	2-1
2.1.3 Consideration of Alternatives for Other Reasonably Foreseeable Transportation Improvements	2-2
2.2 Overview of the Alternative Development Process	2-2
2.2.1 Description of Alternatives	2-2
2.3 Initial Screening of Alternatives	2-3
2.3.1 No-Build Alternative	2-5
2.3.2 Build Alternative 1: 100 East	2-5
2.3.3 Build Alternative 2A: 200 East Signalized Intersection	2-5
2.3.4 Build Alternative 2B: 200 East Roundabout Intersection	2-6
2.3.5 Build Alternative 3: 300 East	2-6
2.3.6 Build Alternative 4: 400 East	2-7
2.3.7 Build Alternative 5: Transportation System Management (TSM)	2-7
2.3.8 Conclusions from Alternative Screening	2-8
2.4 Preferred Alternative	2-8
Chapter 3: Affected Environment, Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-1
3.1 Land Use	3-2
3.2 Farmland	3-5
3.3 Social Impacts	3-5

Table of Contents



Table of Contents (continued)

3.3.1 Community Character and Cohesion	3-6
3.3.2 Relocations	3-11
3.3.3 Public Facilities, Services, Utilities, and Recreation Resources	3-14
3.3.4 Environmental Justice	3-16
3.4 Economic Resources	3-19
3.5 Pedestrians and Cyclists	3-20
3.6 Air Quality	3-22
3.7 Noise	3-37
3.8 Geology, Soils, and Topography	3-44
3.9 Water Bodies and Water Quality	3-45
3.10 Wild and Scenic Rivers	3-54
3.11 Wetlands	3-54
3.12 Wildlife and Threatened and Endangered Species	3-55
3.13 Invasive Species	3-55
3.14 Cultural & Paleontological Resources	3-56
3.15 Hazardous Waste	3-67
3.16 Visual Quality	3-67
3.17 Energy	3-69
3.18 Construction Impacts	3-69
3.19 Cumulative Impacts	3-71
3.19.1 Cumulative Impact Analysis	3-73
3.20 Mitigation Commitments	3-75
Chapter 4: Section 4(f) Evaluation	4-1
4.1 Proposed Action	4-1
4.1.1 Study Area	4-1
4.1.2 Project Area	4-4
4.2 Purpose of the Project	4-4
4.3 Need for the Project	4-4
4.3.1 Lack of Connectivity	4-6
4.3.2 Geometric Deficiencies	4-7
4.3.3 Operational Deficiencies	4-9
4.4 Overview of Alternative Development Process	4-10
4.4.1 Description of Alternatives	4-10



Table of Contents (continued)

4.5 Initial Screening of Alternatives 4-11

- 4.5.1 No-Build Alternative 4-13
- 4.5.2 Build Alternative 1: 100 East 4-13
- 4.5.3 Build Alternative 2A: 200 East Signalized Intersection 4-13
- 4.5.4 Build Alternative 2B: 200 East Roundabout Intersection 4-13
- 4.5.5 Build Alternative 3: 300 East 4-14
- 4.5.6 Build Alternative 4: 400 East 4-14
- 4.5.7 Build Alternative 5: Transportation System Management (TSM) 4-15
- 4.5.8 Conclusions from Alternative Screening 4-15

4.6 Preferred Alternative 4-15

4.7 Identification of Section 4(f) Resources 4-23

- 4.7.1 Public Parks and Recreation Areas 4-23
- 4.7.2 Historic Sites 4-24

4.8 Use of Section 4(f) Resources 4-31

- 4.8.1 Use of Public Parks and Recreational Areas 4-32
- 4.8.2 Summary of Use - Public Parks and Recreational Areas 4-34
- 4.8.3. Use of Historic Sites 4-34
- 4.8.4 Summary of Use - Historic Sites 4-36

4.9 Avoidance Alternative Analysis 4-37

- 4.9.1 Location Avoidance Alternatives 4-38
- 4.9.2. Design Shifts 4-42
- 4.9.3. Summary of Avoidance Alternatives 4-49

4.10 Measures to Minimize Harm 4-49

4.11 Coordination 4-49

Chapter 5: Comments and Coordination 5-1

5.1 Scoping Process 5-1

5.2 Public Participation 5-4

- 5.2.1 Public Open Houses 5-4
- 5.2.2 Community Advisory Committee 5-5
- 5.2.3 City Council and Neighborhood Council Presentations 5-6
- 5.2.4 One-on-one Stakeholder Interviews 5-6
- 5.2.5 Other Public Involvement Methods 5-6

5.3 Comments and Responding to Comments 5-6

Table of Contents



Table of Contents (continued)

Chapter 6: Reference Materials	6-1
6.1 List of Acronyms	6-1
6.2 List of Preparers	6-5
6.3 References	6-7

Appendices

- Appendix A, Public Safety Memo
- Appendix B, Traffic Study
- Appendix C, Public Involvement Summary
- Appendix D, Community Impact Assessment Report
- Appendix E, Noise Assessment
- Appendix F, Wetland Assessment
- Appendix G, Biological Assessment
- Appendix H, Agency Letters
- Appendix I, Determination of Eligibility, and Finding of Effect
- Appendix J, 4(f) Concurrence Letter
- Appendix K, Memorandum of Agreement
- Appendix L, Native American Letters

Figures

Figure 1.1-1, CMPO LRTP Map	1-2
Figure 1.2-1, Study and Project Area	1-4
Figure 1.3-1, Cross-section for a Major Collector	1-6
Figure 1.3-2, Existing Cross-section 200 South to 300 South	1-9
Figure 1.3-3, Existing Conditions at 200 East and 200 South	1-10
Figure 2.4-1, Preferred Alternative	2-9
Figure 2.4-2, Proposed Intersection Design	2-10
Figure 2.4-3, Proposed Roadway Profile	2-11
Figure 2.4-4, Proposed Cross-section Center Street to 100 South	2-12
Figure 2.4-5, Proposed Cross-section 200 South to 300 South	2-13
Figure 2.4-6 Proposed Roadway Alignment 200 South to 300 South	2-14
Figure 3.1-1, Existing Land Use	3-3
Figure 3.1-2, Pioneer Parkway	3-4



Table of Contents (continued)

Figure 3.3-1, Census Boundaries	3-18
Figure 3.5-1, Logan City Existing and Proposed Trails	3-21
Figure 3.7-1, Typical Noise Levels	3-37
Figure 3.7-2, Sound Level Measurement Locations	3-40
Figure 3.7-3, Noise Receptor Locations	3-42
Figure 3.7-4, Modeled Noise Contours	3-43
Figure 3.9-1, Water Resources	3-49
Figure 3.9-2, Source Protection Zones	3-51
Figure 3.14-1, Properties Eligible for the National Register of Historic Places	3-64
Figure 4.1-1, CMPO LRTP Map	4-2
Figure 4.1-2, Study and Project Area	4-3
Figure 4.3-1, Cross-section for a Major Collector	4-5
Figure 4.3-2, Existing Cross-section 200 South to 300 South	4-8
Figure 4.6-1, Preferred Alternative	4-17
Figure 4.6-2, Proposed Intersection Design	4-18
Figure 4.6-3, Proposed Roadway Profile	4-19
Figure 4.6-4, Proposed Cross-section Center Street to 100 South	4-20
Figure 4.6-5, Proposed Cross-section 200 South to 300 South	4-21
Figure 4.6-6, Proposed Roadway Alignment 200 South to 300 South	4-22
Figure 4.7-1, Parks in the Project Area	4-23
Figure 4.7-2, Merlin Olsen Central Park	4-23
Figure 4.7-3, Pioneer Parkway Sign	4-24
Figure 4.7-4, Rock Fireplace	4-24
Figure 4.7-5, Properties Eligible for the NRHP	4-30
Figure 4.8-1, Proposed Use of Parks within Project Area	4-33
Figure 4.9-1, 300 East and 400 East Avoidance Alternatives Section 4(f) Impacts	4-40
Figure 4.9-2, 300 South Bypass Avoidance Alternative Section 4(f) Impacts	4-41
Figure 4.9-3, Design Shift Alternative 2B	4-42
Figure 4.9-4, Design Shift Alternative 2B Section 4(f) Impacts	4-42
Figure 4.9-5, Design Shift Alternative 2C	4-43
Figure 4.9-6, Design Shift Alternative 2C Section 4(f) Impacts	4-43
Figure 4.9-7, Design Shift Alternative 2D	4-44
Figure 4.9-8, Design Shift Alternative 2D Section 4(f) Impacts	4-44

Table of Contents (continued)

Figure 4.9-9, Design Shift Alternative 2E	4-45
Figure 4.9-10, Design Shift Alternative 2E Section 4(f) Impacts	4-45
Figure 4.9-11, Design Shift Alternative 2F	4-46
Figure 4.9-12, Design Shift Alternative 2F Section 4(f) Impacts	4-46
Figure 4.9-13, Design Shift Alternative 2G	4-47
Figure 4.9-14, Design Shift Alternative 2G Section 4(f) Impacts	4-47
Figure 4.9-15, Design Shift Alternative 2H	4-48
Figure 4.9-16, Design Shift Alternative 2H Section 4(f) Impacts	4-48
Figure 5.1-1, Sample Agency Scoping Letter	5-3

Exhibits

Exhibit 3.3-1, Number of Years in Current Household	3-7
Exhibit 3.3-2, Familiarity with Neighbors	3-8
Exhibit 3.3-3, Close Friends in the Neighborhood	3-8
Exhibit 3.3-4, Sentiments about Moving from the Neighborhood	3-8
Exhibit 3.6-1, U.S. Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) vs. Mobile Source Air Toxics Emissions 2000 – 2020	3-29
Exhibit 3.6-2, Diesel Emissions and Lung Cancer	3-31
Exhibit 3.6-3, UC Davis Comparison of Emissions	3-33
Exhibit 3.6-4, MOBILE6.2/Emfac2007 Comparison of Emissions	3-33

Tables

Table 1.3-1, Current and Future LOS for Center Street and 200 East	1-11
Table 1.3-2, Current and Future LOS for 300 South and 200 East	1-12
Table 2.3-1, Screening of Proposed Alternatives	2-4
Table 3.3-1, Property Relocation and Acquisition	3-12
Table 3.3-2, Race and Ethnicity for Cache County and Study Area	3-17
Table 3.3-3, Project Area Income	3-19
Table 3.6-1, National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)	3-24
Table 3.7-1, Noise Abatement Criteria	3-38
Table 3.7-2, Existing Noise Measurements	3-39
Table 3.7-3, Traffic Sound Levels (Leq dBA)	3-41
Table 3.9-1, Potential Increase in Stormwater Flow	3-53



Table of Contents (continued)

Tables (continued)

Table 3.13-1, Invasive Species	3-56
Table 3.14-1, NRHP Eligibility Criteria	3-57
Table 3.14-2, USHPO Rating Definitions for Historic Properties	3-58
Table 3.14-3, Historic Sites within the Project Area	3-59
Table 3.14-4, Impacts on Historic Properties from the Build Alternative	3-65
Table 3.20-1, Mitigation Measures	3-75
Table 4.3-1 Current and Future LOS for Center Street and 200 East	4-9
Table 4.3-2, Current and Future LOS for 300 South and 200 East	4-10
Table 4.5-1, Screening of Proposed Alternatives	4-12
Table 4.7-1, NRHP Eligibility Criteria	4-24
Table 4.7-2, USHPO Rating Definition for Architectural Properties	4-25
Table 4.7-3, Historic Sites within the Project Area	4-26
Table 4.8-1, Summary of Use	4-37
Table 5.1-1, Agencies Contacted during the Scoping Process	5-2
Table 5.2-1, Community Advisory Committee Members	5-5